



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/782,953 02/13/2001 R. Sanders Williams UTSD:674US/SLH 2337 **EXAMINER** 7590 03/18/2004 Steven L. Highlander LIU, SAMUEL W Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **Suite 2400** 600 Congress Avenue 1653 Austin, TX 78701

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/782,953	WILLIAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Samuel W Liu	1653
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>59,61,62 and 70</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections under 35 USC 102(a) and 103(a) i the previous Office action 28 January 2004 stand for the same reasons set forth in the said Office action because the applicants' resubmitted declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 is defective. There is no factual evidence provided in the declaration. Examiner cannot find the Figures A-D in the submitted declaration thereof. Note that the factual data (e.g., figures) are critical components in the 1.132 declaration for consideration of the rejection under 35 USC 102 or 103.

The reply filed 24 February 2004 also argues against the rejection under 35 USC 112, the first paragraph - a New Matter rejection. The applicants' argument is unpersuasive because of the reason set forth in the Office action mailed 28 January 2004. Please note that the specification must explicitly set forth or/and describe a term or limitation, which is newly added to the instant claims, or the term is considered to be insufficiently supported by the specification. The rejection under 35 USC 112, the first paragraph therefore stands.

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Your Cachane Coulser Phs